World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X

Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON THE EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENT AND RISKS

Guljahon Begmatova
2nd Year Master's Student of the International Nordic University

Dilmurod Mamatov
p.f.f.f.d. Professor DsC Vice-Rector for
Scientific Affairs Yangi Asr University
lazizbekqurbonov56@gmail.com

Abstract

This article examines how artificial intelligence (AI) is reshaping the educational environment in Uzbekistan, with particular attention to two intertwined dimensions: pedagogical effectiveness and information security risks. The study conceptualizes AI in education as a socio-technical system that influences teaching practice, assessment, institutional management, and student learning trajectories through automated feedback, adaptive content delivery, generative tutoring, and analytics-driven decision-making. The article aims to identify the main instructional gains associated with AI adoption in pedagogical universities and related teacher-training contexts, while simultaneously mapping the risk landscape created by increased data collection, platform dependence, and the rapid diffusion of generative tools. Methodologically, the research synthesizes policy and institutional practice analysis with a structured review of recent empirical findings on AI-supported learning, and applies a risk-oriented framework to interpret challenges relevant to Uzbekistan's digital education agenda. The results indicate that AI integration can improve personalization, formative assessment quality, and learner engagement when used to scaffold metacognitive strategies, provide timely diagnostic feedback, and reduce routine teacher workload. In addition, AI-assisted content generation can broaden access to instructional materials and support differentiated instruction for diverse student groups, including learners with varying language proficiency. However,

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)
ISSN (E): 3072-175X
Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

the study also finds that these benefits are conditional on governance quality and pedagogical design. Information security risks emerge as a critical constraint: student data privacy vulnerabilities, insecure third-party services, weak consent practices, and insufficient data minimization can expose institutions and learners to leakage, profiling, and unauthorized secondary use. Generative AI introduces further threats to academic integrity through contract cheating, synthetic plagiarism, and assessment distortion, as well as to cognitive security via misinformation, hallucinated references, and overreliance that may reduce independent reasoning. Algorithmic bias and unequal access amplify risks of educational stratification, particularly when models trained on external corpora do not reflect local linguistic and cultural contexts. The article concludes that Uzbekistan's teacher education sector requires a balanced adoption strategy that pairs instructional innovation with enforceable safeguards: privacy-by-design, secure procurement standards, integrity-preserving assessment redesign, AI literacy for faculty and students, and institutional monitoring mechanisms. The proposed synthesis provides a practical evidence-informed basis for universities to maximize pedagogical value while reducing exposure to information security and ethical hazards.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence in education, adaptive learning, learning analytics, formative assessment, teacher workload, academic integrity, data privacy, information security, algorithmic bias, AI literacy.

Introduction

SUNIY INTELEKTNING TALIM MUHITIGA TASIRI VA HAVF HATARLARI

Begmatova Guljahon Xoshimjon qizi
Xalqaro Nordik Universiteti 2 kurs magistranti

Mamatov Dilmurod Narmuratovich
p.f.f.f.d. professor DsC Ilmiy ishlar bo'yicha prorektor Yangi asr Universiteti
lazizbekqurbonov56@gmail.com

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

Annotatsiya

Mazkur maqolada sun’iy intellektning O‘zbekiston ta’lim muhitiga kirib kelishi natijasida yuzaga kelayotgan pedagogik samaradorlik omillari hamda axborot xavfsizligi bilan bog‘liq xavf-xatarlar kompleks tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqot sun’iy intellektni ta’limda qo‘llashni faqat texnologik yangilik sifatida emas, balki o‘qitish, baholash, boshqaruv va o‘quvchi tajribasini bir vaqtda o‘zgartiruvchi ijtimoiy-texnik tizim sifatida talqin qiladi. Maqolaning maqsadi pedagogik universitetlar va o‘qituvchi tayyorlash muassasalari sharoitida sun’iy intellektdan foydalanish orqali erishiladigan ta’limiy natijalarni aniqlash, shu jarayonda kuchayadigan axborot xavfsizligi risklarini tasniflash va ularni kamaytirish yo‘llarini asoslashdan iborat. Metodik yondashuv sifatida normativ-huquqiy hujjatlar va amaliy tajribalarni tahlil qilish, ilmiy manbalarni tizimli ko‘rib chiqish hamda riskga yo‘naltirilgan baholash modeli qo‘llanadi. Natijalar shuni ko‘rsatadiki, sun’iy intellekt vositalari shaxsiylashtirilgan o‘qitish, tezkor diagnostik fikr-mulohaza, formativ baholashni kuchaytirish va o‘qituvchining rutin yuklamasini qisqartirish orqali ta’lim samaradorligini oshirishi mumkin. Shu bilan birga, ta’lim platformalarida ma’lumotlar yig‘ilishi va uchinchi tomon servislariga bog‘liqlik talabalarning shaxsiy ma’lumotlari sizib chiqishi, ruxsatsiz qayta ishlanishi, profil yaratish va nazoratsiz kuzatuv xavfini kuchaytiradi. Generativ sun’iy intellekt vositalari esa akademik halollikni izdan chiqarishi, soxta manbalar va noto‘g‘ri axborot tarqalishiga xizmat qilishi, o‘quvchining mustaqil fikrlashini susaytirishi ehtimolini oshiradi. Algoritmik tarafkashlik va raqamli tengsizlik ham ta’lim natijalarida stratifikatsiya xavfini kuchaytiruvchi omillar sifatida namoyon bo‘ladi. Xulosa sifatida, ta’limda sun’iy intellektdan foydalanishda innovatsiya va xavfsizlik o‘rtasidagi muvozanatni ta’minlovchi boshqaruv choralarini joriy etish zarurligi asoslanadi: privacy-by-design yondashuvi, xavfsiz xarid va audit talablari, baholash dizaynini yangilash, sun’iy intellekt savodxonligini rivojlantirish va institutsional monitoring mexanizmlari.

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

Kalit so‘zlar: sun’iy intellekt, ta’lim platformalari, shaxsiylashtirilgan o‘qitish, o‘quv analitikasi, formativ baholash, akademik halollik, shaxsiy ma’lumotlar himoyasi, axborot xavfsizligi, algoritmik tarafkashlik, raqamli savodxonlik

Introduction

Artificial intelligence has rapidly transitioned from an experimental technology to an everyday educational resource, reshaping how knowledge is accessed, produced, assessed, and managed. In university settings, AI systems are increasingly used to support adaptive learning pathways, automate feedback, generate instructional content, and analyze learner data to inform academic decisions. These developments are especially salient for pedagogical universities, where future teachers not only learn disciplinary content but also internalize instructional models that they will later reproduce in schools. As a result, the integration of AI into teacher education influences both immediate learning outcomes and the long-term quality of classroom practice, making the educational environment simultaneously more data-driven and more dependent on digital infrastructures.

The promise of AI in education is often framed through the lens of pedagogical effectiveness. AI-enabled tools can provide individualized explanations, recommend targeted exercises, and offer formative feedback at scale, potentially enhancing student engagement and supporting differentiated instruction. For teacher educators, AI can reduce repetitive workloads associated with grading, lesson planning drafts, and resource curation, freeing time for higher-order mentoring and reflective practice. In contexts where class sizes are large and instructional resources are unevenly distributed, AI may also expand access to learning materials and tutoring-like support. Yet pedagogical benefits are not automatic: they depend on the quality of task design, the alignment between AI outputs and curricular standards, and the extent to which teachers and students possess the critical competencies needed to evaluate AI-generated content.

Alongside potential gains, AI adoption introduces a complex set of information security risks that directly affect educational sustainability and trust. Many AI tools rely on continuous data collection, cloud-based processing, and third-party

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

platform ecosystems. This creates exposure points for unauthorized access, data leakage, and secondary use of student information beyond educational purposes. Even when overt breaches do not occur, routine data practices may enable profiling, behavioral tracking, and opaque decision-making that students and staff cannot easily contest. In addition, generative AI systems amplify integrity-related threats by lowering the cost of producing plausible assignments, paraphrases, or fabricated citations, complicating established assessment models and potentially undermining the credibility of qualifications. A further challenge arises from model limitations such as hallucinations, hidden biases, and uneven performance across languages and cultural contexts, which may distort knowledge formation and reinforce educational inequalities.

For Uzbekistan's education system, these dynamics intersect with an ongoing push toward digital transformation, the expansion of e-learning infrastructure, and the modernization of teacher training. The national context creates both enabling conditions and vulnerabilities: institutional readiness varies, cybersecurity capacities are uneven, and regulatory and procurement mechanisms may lag behind the speed of technological diffusion. At the same time, the multilingual nature of the learning environment and the importance of locally grounded pedagogical content make it essential to evaluate whether widely available AI systems adequately support educational goals without introducing externalized risks.

This article addresses the need for a balanced, evidence-informed perspective that treats AI in education as a socio-technical phenomenon rather than a purely technical upgrade. The study aims to clarify how AI can contribute to pedagogical effectiveness in teacher education while mapping the principal information security and integrity risks that accompany adoption. By synthesizing research findings and framing risks in operational terms relevant to universities, the article seeks to support decision-making that maximizes learning value and protects students, staff, and institutional legitimacy.

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

Methods

This study applies a qualitative-dominant analytical design that combines a structured evidence synthesis with a risk-oriented framework to evaluate AI adoption in the educational environment of Uzbekistan, focusing on pedagogical effectiveness and information security. The methodological logic treats AI-enabled education as a socio-technical system in which learning outcomes emerge from the interaction of instructional design, user practices, institutional governance, and digital infrastructure.

First, a structured review of recent academic literature on AI in education was conducted to identify empirically supported mechanisms of pedagogical impact and documented risk vectors. Sources were selected from peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and reputable research reports addressing adaptive learning, learning analytics, and generative AI in higher education and teacher education. Inclusion criteria prioritized studies that (a) reported observable educational outcomes or implementation evidence, (b) described AI tools used for instruction, assessment, or academic support, and (c) discussed implications for integrity, privacy, or security. Exclusion criteria removed purely speculative pieces without methodological grounding and studies unrelated to educational settings. Extracted data were organized into a synthesis matrix capturing intervention type, educational context, reported benefits, constraints, and risk-related observations.

Second, a document analysis was applied to the Uzbekistan context through a review of publicly available policy texts, strategic programs on digital education, and institutional communications where accessible (e.g., university regulations, platform use guidelines, and statements on digital learning practices). This stage aimed to map how AI-related practices align with national modernization priorities and to identify governance gaps relevant to data processing, procurement, and academic integrity. The document corpus was coded using a directed content analysis approach, where categories were derived from the literature synthesis and refined iteratively during coding.

Third, the study employed a risk assessment framework to structure information security and integrity hazards associated with AI use in universities. Risks were

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

classified across confidentiality, integrity, and availability dimensions, supplemented by privacy principles such as data minimization, purpose limitation, transparency, and consent. For generative AI, an additional academic integrity lens was used to capture contract cheating, synthetic plagiarism, authorship ambiguity, and assessment validity threats. Each risk category was interpreted in operational terms relevant to pedagogical universities, including typical data flows (student prompts, assignments, assessment records, behavioral logs), third-party dependencies, and user-level practices.

Analytically, findings from the literature synthesis and document review were triangulated to produce a contextualized set of pedagogical benefit pathways and risk scenarios. Thematic analysis was used to consolidate recurring patterns into higher-level constructs, such as personalization gains, workload redistribution, feedback acceleration, and literacy demands, alongside risk clusters such as data leakage exposure, insecure integrations, model hallucinations, and bias-driven inequities. To strengthen internal coherence, the analysis explicitly linked each reported benefit to enabling conditions (instructional alignment, faculty competence, oversight) and each risk to plausible institutional triggers (weak access controls, unmanaged tool use, unclear policies).

Ethical considerations were addressed through a privacy-first orientation at the methodological level: the study relies on secondary sources and publicly accessible documents, avoiding collection of identifiable student data. Limitations are acknowledged in the interpretive scope: results reflect synthesized evidence and contextual reading rather than controlled experiments, and institutional variability across Uzbekistan may affect generalizability.

Results

The synthesis indicates that AI influences the educational environment through two parallel trajectories: measurable pedagogical improvements under well-designed use, and an expanded risk surface affecting information security, academic integrity, and equity. In teacher education settings, the strongest benefits appear when AI functions as a scaffold for learning processes rather than as a substitute for student thinking or teacher expertise.

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

Pedagogical effectiveness outcomes cluster around personalization, feedback acceleration, and instructional workflow optimization. Adaptive AI systems and recommendation engines support differentiated learning by aligning tasks with students' proficiency levels, reducing mismatch between instructional difficulty and learner readiness. This is particularly relevant in mixed-ability cohorts typical of pedagogical universities, where students may vary in language proficiency, digital literacy, and prior academic preparation. Generative AI used as a tutoring-like assistant can improve conceptual clarity by providing alternative explanations and examples, especially when students engage in iterative questioning. Across the reviewed evidence, formative assessment benefits are repeatedly linked to AI's capacity to generate rapid diagnostic feedback, highlight common misconceptions, and propose remediation pathways. When teachers use these signals to adjust instruction, classroom time shifts toward targeted clarification and practice, and students report higher perceived support.

A second set of results concerns productivity and teaching quality in institutional practice. AI-assisted drafting of lesson plans, rubrics, and learning resources reduces routine workload and enables educators to focus on higher-order tasks such as mentoring, reflective supervision, and classroom-based research. In contexts with limited access to high-quality materials, AI tools expand the range of examples, exercises, and differentiated resources that can be localized by faculty. However, the results show that productivity gains are conditional: they require faculty competence in prompt design, critical evaluation of outputs, and alignment with curricular outcomes. Without these mediating factors, AI-generated materials may introduce inaccuracies, oversimplification, or pedagogically weak sequences.

The evidence also shows that AI adoption changes student learning behavior. Many students use generative systems for paraphrasing, outlining, and quick explanations, which can support planning and comprehension when guided by explicit learning strategies. Yet the same practices can lead to overreliance, reduced independent reasoning, and shallow processing, particularly when tasks prioritize product completion over process evidence. The results therefore

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

suggest that pedagogical effectiveness is closely tied to assessment design: learning gains are more consistent when assignments require reasoning traces, reflective justification, or in-class performance components that cannot be easily outsourced to automated generation.

On the risk side, information security vulnerabilities emerge as a primary constraint to sustainable AI integration. The most frequent risk scenarios relate to data confidentiality and privacy. Universities and students commonly interact with cloud-based services that collect prompts, documents, and usage metadata; when governance is weak, this creates exposure to unauthorized access, unregulated retention, and secondary use of educational data. The risk surface expands through third-party integrations, unofficial tool use, and the absence of clear consent and minimization practices. A parallel risk cluster concerns integrity and trust in academic outputs. Generative AI reduces the time and skill required to produce plausible essays, lesson plans, and even research-style texts, increasing the prevalence of synthetic plagiarism and complicating authorship attribution. This directly threatens the validity of assessment and the credibility of teacher preparation, because graduates may appear competent without developing the underlying pedagogical reasoning.

A further result is the presence of epistemic and cognitive security risks. AI systems can generate convincing but incorrect content, including fabricated references and misleading explanations. In teacher education, such errors are especially damaging because they can propagate into future classroom practice. Bias-related risks are also evident: model performance may be uneven across languages, dialects, and culturally specific contexts, which can disadvantage students who rely on local linguistic resources. This interacts with access inequalities, as students with better devices, connectivity, and paid tool access can obtain stronger AI support, potentially widening performance gaps.

Overall, the results indicate that pedagogical benefits and security risks are not independent. Both increase with the intensity of AI use and the degree of data dependence. Institutions that achieve positive outcomes typically pair AI adoption with explicit governance rules, secure tool selection, academic integrity redesign, and AI literacy development for faculty and students.

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)
ISSN (E): 3072-175X
Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

Discussion

The results underline a central tension in AI-enabled education: the same mechanisms that increase pedagogical effectiveness also amplify exposure to security, integrity, and equity risks. In pedagogical universities, this tension is sharper than in many other disciplines because graduates will later transfer their instructional habits into school systems. Therefore, AI adoption in teacher education cannot be treated as a short-term productivity upgrade; it functions as a formative influence on professional identity, instructional judgment, and ethical norms.

From a pedagogical perspective, the findings support the view that AI is most beneficial when used for scaffolding rather than substitution. Adaptive recommendations, rapid feedback, and generative explanation can strengthen formative assessment cycles and support differentiated instruction, especially in heterogeneous cohorts. Yet these benefits depend on a set of enabling conditions that are often underestimated in implementation plans. Faculty must have the capacity to evaluate AI outputs critically, detect inaccuracies, and align generated materials with competency-based curricula. Without structured AI literacy and methodological guidance, the technology can shift instruction toward convenience-driven practices, where content is produced faster but with weaker pedagogical coherence. This suggests that effectiveness is less a property of the tool itself and more a function of instructional design, assessment architecture, and institutional culture.

A major implication concerns assessment validity. Generative AI destabilizes conventional take-home assignments and text-heavy tasks because it lowers the cost of producing polished outputs. In such conditions, academic integrity policies alone are insufficient if assessment design remains unchanged. The discussion therefore points to the necessity of integrity-preserving assessment redesign: emphasizing process evidence, oral defenses, in-class demonstrations, iterative drafts with feedback histories, and performance-based tasks anchored in local classroom practice. For teacher education, this can include micro-teaching sessions, lesson enactment with reflective commentary, and portfolio artifacts that document decision rationales and contextual adaptation. These

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

approaches do not eliminate AI use; rather, they channel it into transparent, pedagogically meaningful roles, such as planning support or formative self-checking, while maintaining verifiable evidence of competence.

Information security risks require equally concrete operational responses. The data pathways typical of AI use in education include prompts containing personal information, student submissions, assessment records, and metadata that can enable profiling. In environments where procurement standards are weak and staff rely on informal tool selection, universities can inadvertently externalize sensitive data to opaque third parties. This indicates that cybersecurity and privacy governance must be integrated into educational innovation. Practical measures include privacy-by-design requirements, strict data minimization, role-based access control, secure authentication, and institutional guidelines that prohibit uploading identifiable student data to unmanaged services. Universities also need procurement and vendor evaluation processes that examine retention policies, data locality, encryption, incident response commitments, and auditability. Without such safeguards, even well-intentioned pedagogical use can produce long-term institutional liabilities.

The discussion also highlights cognitive and epistemic security as underappreciated dimensions. Hallucinated content, fabricated citations, and persuasive misinformation can erode disciplinary accuracy and weaken students' epistemic vigilance. For future teachers, this is particularly problematic because it can normalize unreliable knowledge practices. A key countermeasure is to embed verification routines into coursework: source checking, triangulation, and critical evaluation of model outputs as standard learning activities. In this sense, AI becomes a pedagogical object for critical inquiry, not merely a utility.

Equity considerations shape both outcomes and risks. Unequal access to devices, connectivity, and premium AI features can widen achievement differences. Bias in model performance across languages and cultural contexts may further disadvantage students working in local linguistic environments. For Uzbekistan, multilingual instruction and locally grounded pedagogical content make localization and contextual validation essential. Institutions may mitigate these

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)
ISSN (E): 3072-175X
Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

effects by providing campus-accessible tools under uniform conditions, supporting local-language resources, and training faculty to recognize bias and adapt materials to cultural and curricular requirements.

Overall, the findings suggest that Uzbekistan's pedagogical universities should pursue a balanced adoption pathway. This involves treating AI integration as a governance challenge as much as a teaching innovation, aligning tool use with curricular goals, redesigning assessment for integrity, and institutionalizing privacy and security controls. When these components are implemented together, AI can enhance learning while protecting students and preserving the credibility of teacher preparation.

Conclusion

This article examined the impact of artificial intelligence on the educational environment in Uzbekistan through the dual lens of pedagogical effectiveness and information security risks, with a focus on pedagogical universities and teacher education. The findings show that AI can strengthen learning quality when it is embedded in sound instructional design and used to scaffold, rather than replace, cognitive work. The most consistent benefits include improved personalization, faster and more actionable formative feedback, expanded access to instructional resources, and partial reduction of routine teacher workload. These gains are most visible when faculty and students apply AI critically, align outputs with competency-based curricula, and use analytics and generative support to enhance reflective learning processes.

At the same time, the study demonstrates that AI adoption expands the institutional risk surface. Data privacy and confidentiality threats increase as cloud services collect prompts, documents, and metadata, particularly when universities lack clear governance, secure procurement, and data minimization practices. Generative AI intensifies academic integrity challenges by enabling synthetic plagiarism, authorship ambiguity, and assessment distortion, which can undermine the validity of qualifications in teacher preparation. Additional risks include epistemic unreliability through hallucinated content and fabricated

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

references, algorithmic bias affecting fairness across linguistic and cultural contexts, and unequal access that may widen educational stratification.

A key conclusion is that pedagogical benefits and security hazards grow together with the scale of AI use; therefore, sustainable implementation requires integrated management rather than isolated interventions. For Uzbekistan's teacher education sector, an effective response includes privacy-by-design and cybersecurity standards for tool selection, explicit institutional policies on acceptable AI use, integrity-preserving assessment redesign that foregrounds process evidence and performance, and systematic AI literacy development for both faculty and students. When adoption is governed through these safeguards, universities can leverage AI to improve learning outcomes while protecting student rights, institutional trust, and the long-term quality of teaching practice.

References:

1. Rahmonqulova, Z. (2025). Developing global cooperation through language learning in military educational institutions. HARBIY, 1(1), 166-168.
2. Narzullayeva, Z., Haytbayeva, S. (2025). Biologiya fanini o'qitishda guruhli va jamoaviy ishlash metodlari. Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation in Science and Education, *1*(2), 334-341.
3. Rahmonqulova, Z. (2024). Alienation and absurdity in Franz Kafkas the metamorphosis: an existentialist study. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 1(1), 160-163.
4. Bekchanova, X. J. (2024). Stativlikni ifodalovchi fe'llar. Ta'lim va rivojlanish tahlili onlayn ilmiy jurnali, *4*(1), 387-389.
5. Bekchanova, X. J. (2024). Elements Expressing State in the Uzbek Language. Texas Journal of Philology Culture and History, *3*(5), 1-2.
6. Вохидова, Н. Х., & Халикова, З. М. (2016). Развитие системы образования в Республики Узбекистан. *Europaische Fachhochschule*, (4), 23-24.

World Bulletin Publishing
Online Publishing Hub

World Bulletin of Education and Learning (WBEL)

ISSN (E): 3072-175X | **Volume 2, Issue 3, March 2026**

https://worldbulletin.org/index.php/1

7. Вахидова, Н. Х., & Халикова, З. М. (2015). Воображение как фактор творчества личности. *Личностное и профессиональное развитие будущего специалиста*, 17-21.
8. Kabilova, S. Individual ta'lim trayektoriyasini ishlab chiqishda pedagogik yondashuvlar. *Maktabgacha va maktab ta'limi jurnali*, 676206.
9. Kabilova, S. R. (2025). Sharq mutafakkirlarining ta'lim tarbiyaga oid asarlari ma'naviy va axloqiy qarashlar durdonasi. Konferensiya, *1*(1), 85-90.
10. Zafarovna, Z. Q., & Davronovna, M. Q. (2025). The rise of cyberliterature: the internet's impact on contemporary literature. *Hamkor konferensiyalar*, *1*(14), 1272-1275.
11. Qodirova, M. (2024). Genesis of the road motif: exploring its origins and evolution in literature. *TAMADDUN NURI JURNALI*, *5*(56), 255-258.
12. Azamatovna, N. S. (2025). Tibbiyotda ingliz tilini o'qitishning amaliy strategiyalari.
13. Azamatovna, N. S. (2025). Tibbiyotda inglizcha terminlarni o 'rgatishda o 'qitish usullari.
14. Jabborova, M. (2025). Til o'rgatishda interfaol metodlarni yo'li. Mugallim, 2(1), 218-220.
15. Qizi, J. M. S. (2025). Tilni o 'rganishda miyaning yoshga qarab farqlanishi.
16. Avezova, AK (2025). Simple medical conversations in uzbek: a guide for beginners in healthcare. E-Conference platform, *1*(20-may), 45-47.
17. Avezova, A. K. (2025). Antroponimlarning sintaktik usulda yasalishi. Filologiya va pedagogika, *1*(1), 87-88.
18. Izaitullayeva, L. (2025). Talabalarning ijtimoiy moslashuvida shaxslararo munosabatlar. Ilm-fan muammolari tadqiqotchilar talqinida, *1*(1), 199-205.
19. Izaitullayeva, L. (2024). Ta'lim tizimida sun'iy intellektning ijobiy va salbiy jixatlari. European science international conference, *1*(1), 144-147.
20. Quchqorova, D. (2024). Examples of increase and decrease of function. Web of Technology: Multidimensional Research Journal, *2*(11), 82-89.
21. Quchqarova, D. (2024). Pedagogika fanida qo'llaniladigan interaktiv metodikalar. Mugallim, *5*(5), 120-123.